

Hi max. This is Andres from the

iMessage Wed, May 11, 7:30 PM

regular meeting with you. Ivy will join. I will ask Susanna to reach out. I will say that your media engagement is concerning. Hi Andres. Thanks for reaching out. I will just highlight a couple things I

mentioned to Ivy.

mayor's office. I'd like to set up a

1. First and foremost, I am very happy to follow whatever process the Mayor's office wants me to follow. But it was definitely not clear

to me that i should be giving a

heads each time i speak to a

reporter. 2. This is especially true because everything i said or wrote i have previously said many, many times, directly to the mayor, to the mayor's staff, and publicly in commission

meetings, and no one has ever

indicated that i should not be saying those things. 3. I also assumed that the mayors office would not necessarily want to be bothered w an update each time a reporter reaches out to me given

how frequently that occurs (not just

me, but multiplied by all the mayor

appointed commissioners).

4. As for the Time piece, they let me know yesterday late afternoon that they were accepting it and it was published today so i also didnt have a ton of heads up. 5. Finally, i'll just stress that in all my

reporter convos i emphasize that

this is a team effort and that im

working collaboratively with the

dept and dpa (as i did at tonight's

meeting). But it never makes the cut in articles. I talk to a reporter for 20min and they pick 2 sentences to print. I don't do this via text. We can discuss by phone. Now or tomorrow at 11. Which is better? Im in a commission meeting right now

Ok. 👍

Sent an invite to your sfgov email

address for 11 today. Thanks

Hi, this is Andres in the mayor's

office. Can we connect today?

Thu, May 12, 8:58 AM

Mon Aug 1 12:27 PM

Does 11 work for a call?

Thank you!

Sure

Sure

Hay just wanted to check in to a you were still free for a quick chat

Hi Andres, I just wanted to follow up

on our discussion to let you know

statement along the lines of what

you proposed for 2 reasons. First,

it's untrue. Thanks to HRC's hard

community engagement process.

and over email) to then only go

behind my back and complain to

you that they were "ambushed" by

I mean to say delay the working

groups, not the listening sessions

that I won't be able to make a

work, there will be a robust

Ok. It's unfortunate that you are caving to politics instead of honestly listening to community. I think my decision is the opposite of caving to politics. I'm doing what I think is right, and refusing to say something that I know is untrue.

Hi andres, sure. What time is convenient for you? Now? Hey Andres, do you have 5 minutes? There is some additional information that I think you should know related to our discussion. Mon, Aug 1, 3:56 PM Will call in a bit

Mon, Aug 1, 5:36 PM

And second, the call to postpone the listening sessions has never been about concern for community, its always been sfpd's attempt to delay the dgo process. A dgo, i might add, that they signed off on before I introduced it (both verbally

its introduction.

While I regret the tone that you took with me on our call (is it really necessary to say that it's beneath you to even debate me?), I remain open and willing to working with the Mayor's office on issues as they arise. But I simply cannot make a public statement like this which I know to be false. Delivered



Messages & SMS Export

Screenshot Stitching to JPG, PNG or PDF